

Zenith distribution of atmospheric neutrino events and electron neutrino mixing

G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, and D. Montanino
*Dipartimento di Fisica and Sezione INFN di Bari,
Via Amendola 173, I-70126 Bari, Italy*

Abstract

Assuming atmospheric neutrino oscillations with dominant $\nu_\mu \leftrightarrow \nu_\tau$ transitions, we discuss how subdominant ν_e mixing (within the Chooz reactor bounds) can alter the zenith distributions of neutrino-induced electrons and muons. We isolate two peculiar distortion effects, one mainly related to ν_e mixing in vacuum and the other to matter oscillations, that may be sufficiently large to be detected by the SuperKamiokande atmospheric ν experiment. These effects (absent for pure two-flavor $\nu_\mu \leftrightarrow \nu_\tau$ transitions) do not vanish in the limit of energy-averaged oscillations.

PACS number(s): 14.60.Pq, 13.15.+g, 95.85.Ry

The muon-electron flavor anomaly of atmospheric neutrino events, and its possible dependence on the zenith angle Θ (i.e., on the neutrino pathlength L) represent tentative evidence for $\nu_\mu \leftrightarrow \nu_{e,\mu,\tau}$ oscillations with neutrinos square mass differences $m^2 \gtrsim 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ and large mixing $\sin^2 2\theta$ (see, e.g., [1] and references therein). The recent negative results from the Chooz reactor experiment [2] in the $\nu_e \leftrightarrow \nu_e$ disappearance channel,

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{ee} \lesssim 0.18 \text{ at 90\% C.L. for } m^2 \gtrsim 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2, \quad (1)$$

exclude $\nu_\mu \leftrightarrow \nu_e$ oscillations as a viable explanation of the atmospheric anomaly. However, scenarios dominated by $\nu_\mu \leftrightarrow \nu_\tau$ oscillations in the range $m^2 \gtrsim 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ are still practicable, and provide good fits to the preliminary SuperKamiokande data for $\sin^2 2\theta_{\mu\tau} \sim 1$ [3].

In this paper we show how subdominant ν_e mixing can induce nonnegligible effects on the zenith distributions of atmospheric neutrino events. In particular, we discuss two effects that, interestingly, do not vanish in the limit of energy-averaged oscillations. The first is mainly related to ν_e mixing in vacuum and the second represents a genuine three-flavor matter effect. Although these effects are implicitly taken into account in detailed numerical calculations of three-flavor neutrino oscillations [1], we think it useful to describe them separately, since their dependence on the neutrino energy E or pathlength L , which is very different from the usual (vacuum) L/E form, could play a role in the interpretation of recent, high statistics atmospheric neutrino data.

We adopt, as in previous works [1,4], a three-flavor framework with one dominant mass scale, i.e., a neutrino mass spectrum with two light states (ν_1, ν_2) and one heavy state ν_3 :

$$|m_2^2 - m_1^2| \ll |m_3^2 - m_{1,2}^2| = m^2 \gtrsim 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2. \quad (2)$$

In addition, we assume that the mixing matrix element U_{e3} is small and that ν_μ and ν_τ are maximally mixed,

$$U_{e3}^2 = \epsilon, \quad U_{\mu 3}^2 = U_{\tau 3}^2 = (1 - \epsilon)/2, \quad (3)$$

where the free parameter ϵ is constrained by Eq. (1) in the range

$$\epsilon \lesssim 0.05, \quad (4)$$

the mixing angle probed by Chooz being $\sin^2 2\theta_{ee} = 4U_{e3}^2(1 - U_{e3}^2) = 4\epsilon(1 - \epsilon)$. In the following, we shall neglect terms of the order ϵ^2 . Notice that $\sin^2 2\theta_{\mu\tau} = 4U_{\mu 3}^2 U_{\tau 3}^2 \simeq 1 - 2\epsilon \gtrsim 0.9$, consistently with preliminary fits to the SuperKamiokande data [3]. (In particular, the case $\epsilon = 0$ corresponds to pure two-flavor $\nu_\mu \leftrightarrow \nu_\tau$ oscillations with maximal mixing.)

In this framework, the flavor oscillation probabilities in vacuum $P_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{vac}}$ assume the simple form

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_{ee} & P_{e\mu} & P_{e\tau} \\ P_{\mu e} & P_{\mu\mu} & P_{\mu\tau} \\ P_{\tau e} & P_{\tau\mu} & P_{\tau\tau} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{vac}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 4\epsilon S & 2\epsilon S & 2\epsilon S \\ 2\epsilon S & 1 - S & (1 - 2\epsilon)S \\ 2\epsilon S & (1 - 2\epsilon)S & 1 - S \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

where S is the usual oscillation factor $S = \sin^2(1.27m^2L/E)$ and m^2 , L , and E are measured in eV^2 , km, and GeV, respectively.

We remind that, in the presence of oscillations, the ratios $R_{e,\mu}$ of neutrino-induced lepton event rates with oscillations ($N_{e,\mu}$) and without oscillations ($N_{e,\mu}^0$) are approximately given by (see, e.g., [5])

$$R_e = N_e/N_e^0 \simeq P_{ee} + rP_{\mu e} , \quad (6)$$

$$R_\mu = N_\mu/N_\mu^0 \simeq P_{\mu\mu} + P_{e\mu}/r , \quad (7)$$

where $r \sim N_\mu^0/N_e^0$. In order to isolate the effects that we are going to discuss, we work in the regime of energy-averaged vacuum oscillations, i.e., $S \simeq 1/2$ (removal of this approximation will be discussed at the end). In this case the averaged vacuum probabilities are given by

$$\overline{P}_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{vac}} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 1-2\epsilon & \epsilon & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & 1/2 & 1/2-\epsilon \\ \epsilon & 1/2-\epsilon & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (8)$$

The first effect that we discuss is related to the zenith dependence of the parameter r . For subGeV events, it is $r \sim 2$ without appreciable zenith dependence [6]. However, for energies in the multiGeV (or higher) range, the ratio $r \sim N_\mu^0/N_e^0$ does depend on the zenith angle Θ and, in particular, it increases when going from the horizontal (H) direction, $\cos \Theta \simeq 0$, to the vertical (V) up and down directions, $\cos \Theta \simeq \pm 1$:

$$r_H < r_V . \quad (9)$$

In fact, the atmospheric ν_e and ν_μ fluxes both decrease towards the vertical (where the slanted depth in the atmosphere is reduced), but ν_e 's are more effectively suppressed than ν_μ 's due to their different parent decay chains. Moreover, the greater the energy of the parents, the longer the decay lengths, the stronger the dependence of r on the slanted depth and thus on the zenith angle Θ .

Therefore, even for averaged (Θ -independent) vacuum oscillations, the quantities R_e and R_μ in Eqs (6,7) acquire a dependence on Θ through the parameter $r = r(\Theta)$. For instance, in the multiGeV energy range one has approximately (using the angular ν spectra of [6])

$$r_H \sim 2 , \quad r_V \sim 4 , \quad (10)$$

which, using Eqs (6,7,8), imply a vertical-horizontal asymmetry A_{VH} of the normalized rates $R_{e,\mu}$ that would be absent for pure two-flavor $\nu_\mu \leftrightarrow \nu_\tau$ oscillations ($\epsilon = 0$):

$$A_{\text{VH}}^e = R_e^V/R_e^H - 1 \simeq \epsilon(r_V - r_H) \simeq 2\epsilon , \quad (11)$$

$$A_{\text{VH}}^\mu = R_\mu^V/R_\mu^H - 1 \simeq -2\epsilon(r_H^{-1} - r_V^{-1}) \simeq -\epsilon/2 . \quad (12)$$

Given the constraint of Eq. (4), the asymmetry can be as large as +10% (−2.5%) for electrons (muons) and thus it might be detected in SuperKamiokande.

The second effect is a peculiar three-flavor oscillation effect in matter. It has been described at the end of Appendix C in Ref. [1] and also recently discussed in Ref. [7], building upon an earlier suggestion by J. Pantaleone [8]. It is based on the fact that the degenerate doublet of light neutrinos (ν_1, ν_2) splits in matter, and the oscillating term driven by the 1–2 effective mass splitting becomes energy-independent in the limit $m^2 \gg A = 2\sqrt{2}G_F N_e E$,

where N_e is the electron density in the Earth. In this limit, and for constant N_e , the difference between the oscillation probability in matter $\overline{P}_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{mat}}$ and in vacuum $\overline{P}_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{vac}}$ is given by a simple expression (see Appendix C of [1]),

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{P}_{ee} & \overline{P}_{e\mu} & \overline{P}_{e\tau} \\ \overline{P}_{\mu e} & \overline{P}_{\mu\mu} & \overline{P}_{\mu\tau} \\ \overline{P}_{\tau e} & \overline{P}_{\tau\mu} & \overline{P}_{\tau\tau} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{mat}} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{P}_{ee} & \overline{P}_{e\mu} & \overline{P}_{e\tau} \\ \overline{P}_{\mu e} & \overline{P}_{\mu\mu} & \overline{P}_{\mu\tau} \\ \overline{P}_{\tau e} & \overline{P}_{\tau\mu} & \overline{P}_{\tau\tau} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{vac}} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta P & +\delta P \\ 0 & +\delta P & -\delta P \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

where

$$\delta P = 4 \frac{U_{e3}^2 U_{\mu 3}^2 U_{\tau 3}^2}{(1 - U_{e3}^2)^2} \sin^2 \left(2^{-\frac{1}{2}} G_F N_e (1 - U_{e3}^2) L \right) \quad (14)$$

$$\simeq \epsilon \sin^2 \left(2.47 (1 - \epsilon) \frac{N_e}{\text{mol/cm}^3} \cos \Theta \right), \quad (15)$$

by taking approximately $L \simeq 2R_{\oplus} \cos \Theta$.

We stress that this matter effect is basically different from the “usual” resonance effect, which is driven by the largest mass splitting and occurs when $m^2 \sim A$. The correction δP , instead, is induced by the two light mass states even if they are exactly degenerate in vacuum. Moreover, δP has the same form for both neutrinos and antineutrinos [1], while the usual enhancement of oscillations in matter cannot occur for both ν ’s and $\bar{\nu}$ ’s. Also notice that δP vanishes in the limit of pure 2ν oscillations, i.e., when one of the $U_{\alpha 3}$ is zero.

The consequences of the additional term δP on the lepton spectra may be rather interesting. In fact, the argument of the $\sin^2$ term in Eq. (15) is large for typical electron densities in the Earth ($\sim 2\text{--}6 \text{ mol/cm}^3$). Moreover, it is energy-independent and thus is not smeared by the broad neutrino energy spectrum. Since the phase of δP depends only on the neutrino direction, its effects should be revealed more easily in the angular spectrum of upward-going muons, where the μ and ν_{μ} directions are highly correlated. (Notice that electron spectra are unaffected by δP .) However, the amplitude of this “geometrical” oscillation is small ($\lesssim \epsilon$), and it might be difficult to unfold it from Θ distributions. It should be easier to investigate the global effects of δP on integral quantities, such as the up-down asymmetry of contained events recently proposed in [9].

In particular, the up-going (U) muon rate differs from the down-going (D) muon rate through the term δP ,

$$R_{\mu}^D = \overline{P}_{\mu\mu}^{\text{vac}} + \overline{P}_{e\mu}^{\text{vac}}/r, \quad (16)$$

$$R_{\mu}^U = \overline{P}_{\mu\mu}^{\text{vac}} + \overline{P}_{e\mu}^{\text{vac}}/r - \delta P, \quad (17)$$

so that, defining U_{μ} and D_{μ} as the integral muon rates in the two hemispheres below and above the horizon ($U_{\mu} = \int d\Omega_U R_{\mu}^U$, $D_{\mu} = \int d\Omega_D R_{\mu}^D$), one gets a nonzero up-down muon asymmetry A_{UD}^{μ} :

$$A_{\text{UD}}^{\mu} = \frac{U_{\mu}}{D_{\mu}} - 1 = \frac{-\int d\Omega_U \delta P}{2\pi(\overline{P}_{\mu\mu}^{\text{vac}} + \overline{P}_{e\mu}^{\text{vac}}/r)} \simeq -\epsilon \quad (18)$$

(up to a negligible term depending on N_e) which, given the bound in Eq. (4), can be as large as a few percent and thus possibly detectable in the SuperKamiokande experiment.

In conclusion, we remind that the approximation of energy-averaged oscillations (or, equivalently, of large m^2) has been used only to isolate more clearly the two effects discussed in this work. For values of m^2 in the range suggested by the SuperKamiokande preliminary fits ($m^2 \sim 10^{-3}$ – 10^{-2} eV²) [3], these peculiar effects are generally entangled with the “ordinary” vacuum (and possibly matter) oscillation effects, and it may be difficult to separate each of them, although the different functional dependences on E or L should help. The main message of this work is that, even if vacuum oscillations in the $\nu_\mu \leftrightarrow \nu_\tau$ channel (with the usual L/E dependence) are expected to give a dominant contribution to the atmospheric neutrino anomalies, a small (few percent) ν_e admixture can produce additional, interesting effects that can alter the simple L/E dependence of the lepton spectra at a level detectable in SuperKamiokande, without violating the recent limits placed by the Chooz reactor experiment. We plan to investigate both dominant and subdominant oscillation effects in SuperKamiokande through more complete numerical calculations, when a more detailed description of this experiment and of its results will become available.

We thank A. Yu. Smirnov for careful reading of the manuscript. One of us (EL) thanks the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics (ICTP, Trieste) for kind hospitality during the preparation of this work, and T. Montaruli, S. Petcov, and A. Yu. Smirnov for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] G.L. Fogli, E. Lisi, D. Montanino, and G. Scioscia, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 4385 (1997).
- [2] M. Apollonio *et al.* (Chooz Collaboration), University of Pisa Report, hep-ex/9711002 (unpublished).
- [3] Y. Totsuka *et al.* (SuperKamiokande Collaboration), in *LP'97*, 28th International Symposium on Lepton-Photon Interactions, Hamburg, Germany, 1997, to appear in the Proceedings.
- [4] G.L. Fogli, E. Lisi, and G. Scioscia, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 5334 (1995).
- [5] G.L. Fogli, E. Lisi, and D. Montanino, Astropart. Phys. **4**, 177 (1995).
- [6] V. Agrawal, T.K. Gaisser, P. Lipari, and T. Stanev, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 1314 (1996);
M. Honda, T. Kajita, K. Kasahara, and S. Midorikawa, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 4985 (1995).
- [7] C. Giunti, C.W. Kim, and M. Monteno, University of Torino Report No. DFTT 32-97, hep-ph/9709439 (unpublished).
- [8] J. Pantaleone, Phys. Rev. D **49**, R2152 (1994) and private communication.
- [9] J.W. Flanagan, J.G. Learned, and S. Pakvasa, University of Hawaii Report No. UH511-880-97, hep-ph/9709438 (unpublished).